

Ramsey Theory on Generalized Baire Space

Dan Hathaway

University of Denver

Daniel.Hathaway@du.edu

January 18, 2018

Let κ be an infinite cardinal.

Definition

A set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$ is **Ramsey** iff there is some $H \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ such that either

- every $X \in [H]^\kappa$ is in \mathcal{X} or
- no $X \in [H]^\kappa$ is in \mathcal{X} .

H is called **homogeneous** for \mathcal{X} .

Generalized Baire Space

Given an ordinal $\alpha < \kappa$ and a set $A \subseteq \alpha$, let

$$\mathcal{B}_{A,\alpha} := \{X \in [\kappa]^\kappa : X \cap \alpha = A\}.$$

The $\mathcal{B}_{A,\alpha}$'s form a basis for the *standard topology on generalized Baire space*. The topology is too fine, because if $\kappa > \omega$, there is a clopen set $\mathcal{X} \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ that is not Ramsey (ZFC): using a coloring $c : [\kappa]^\omega \rightarrow 2$ with no $H \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ satisfying $|c''[H]^\omega| = 1$, let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$ be the set of all X whose first ω elements X' satisfy $c(X') = 1$.

How to get a coarser topology? Use the topology generated by sets of the form

$$\text{Match}(A, B) := \{X \in [\kappa]^\kappa : X \cap (A \cup B) = A\}$$

where A and B must be *small*.

Definition

A **pattern** is a pair (A, B) such that $A, B \subseteq \kappa$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. A set $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ **matches** (A, B) iff $X \cap (A \cup B) = A$. That is, $A \subseteq X$ and $B \cap X = \emptyset$. $\text{Match}(A, B)$ is the set of $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ that match (A, B) .

Definition

Given $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$, (A, B) is an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -pattern iff $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition

$\Sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is the collection of all sets \mathcal{X} of the form

$$\mathcal{X}_Q := \{X \in [\kappa]^\kappa : X \text{ matches some } (A, B) \in Q\}$$

for some set Q of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -patterns.

$$\Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \{\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{X}, [\kappa]^\kappa - \mathcal{X} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\}.$$

Examples

$\Sigma([\kappa]^{<\kappa}, [\kappa]^{<\kappa})$ is the collection of all open subsets of generalized Baire space, and $\Delta([\kappa]^{<\kappa}, [\kappa]^{<\kappa})$ is the collection of all clopen subsets. If $\kappa > \omega$, some set in $\Delta([\kappa]^{<\kappa}, [\kappa]^{<\kappa})$ is not Ramsey.

When \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{B} is enlarged, $\Sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ becomes finer.

Silver: every Analytic set in the topology $\Sigma([\omega]^{<\omega}, [\omega]^{<\omega})$ is Ramsey.

Ellentuck: every Analytic set in the topology $\Sigma([\omega]^{<\omega}, [\omega]^{\leq\omega})$ is Ramsey.

Large cardinals imply that every $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ in $L(\mathbb{R})$ is Ramsey.

$|A|, |B|$ bounded below κ

Theorem

Fix $\gamma < \kappa$. Every $\Delta([\kappa]^{<\gamma}, [\kappa]^{<\gamma})$ set is Ramsey.

Open

Fix $\gamma < \kappa$. Is every $\Sigma([\kappa]^{<\gamma}, [\kappa]^{<\gamma})$ set Ramsey?

- Is every $\Sigma([\omega_1]^2, [\omega_1]^1)$ set Ramsey?
- Is every $\Sigma([\kappa]^\omega, [\kappa]^1)$ set Ramsey if κ is measurable?

$$|A| = 2.$$

Assume the Axiom of Choice.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- κ is weakly compact,
- every $\Delta([\kappa]^2, [\kappa]^{<\kappa})$ set is Ramsey,
- every $\Sigma([\kappa]^2, [\kappa]^{<\kappa})$ set is Ramsey,
- $(\forall n \in \omega)$ every $\Sigma([\kappa]^n, [\kappa]^{<\kappa})$ set is Ramsey.

$$|A| < \omega$$

Using a similar argument:

Theorem

If κ is Ramsey cardinal, then every $\Sigma([\kappa]^{< \omega}, [\kappa]^{< \kappa})$ set is Ramsey.

Question

If every $\Sigma([\kappa]^{< \omega}, [\kappa]^{< \kappa})$ set is Ramsey, what kind of large cardinal is κ ?

If κ is measurable, B can have size κ :

Theorem

Let \mathcal{U} be a κ -complete ultrafilter on κ . Then every $\Sigma([\kappa]^{< \omega}, \mathcal{P}(\kappa) - \mathcal{U})$ set is Ramsey.

When $Q \subseteq L$ or $Q \in L$

Theorem

Let $\kappa > \omega$ be a Ramsey cardinal. Let $Q \subseteq L$ be a set of patterns. The set $\mathcal{X}_Q \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$ generated by Q (in V) is Ramsey.








Theorem

Assume $0^\#$ exists. Let $\kappa > \omega$ be a cardinal. Let $Q \in L$ be a set of patterns. The set $\mathcal{X}_Q \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$ generated by Q (in V) is Ramsey.

Question

Does it follow from large cardinals, or is it even consistent with ZFC, that for every set $Q \in L(\mathbb{R})$ of $([\omega_1]^{<\omega_1}, [\omega_1]^{<\omega_1})$ -patterns, the set $\mathcal{X}_Q \subseteq [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ generated by Q (in V) is Ramsey?

Thank You!

-  E. Ellentuck. A New Proof that Analytic Sets are Ramsey. *J. Symbolic Logic* 39 (1974), no 1, 163-165.
-  F. Galvin and K. Prikry. Borel sets and Ramsey's theorem. *J. Symbolic Logic* 38 (1973), no 2, 193-198.
-  D. Hathaway. Ramsey Theory on Generalized Baire Space. To appear in *Topology and its Applications*.
-  A. Kanamori. *The Higher Infinite: Large Cardinals in Set Theory from Their Beginnings*. Berlin: Springer, 2009.
-  C. Nash-Williams. On Well-quasi-ordering transfinite sequences. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 1965, no 61 (1), 33-39.
-  S. Shelah. Better Quasi-orders for Uncountable Cardinals. *Israel J. Math.* (1982) 42:177.
-  J. Silver. Every Analytic Set is Ramsey. *J. Symbolic Logic* 35 (1970), no 1, 60-64.