

# Generic Coding with Help

Dan Hathaway <sup>1</sup>    Sy David Friedman <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Vermont: *Daniel.Hathaway@uvm.edu*

<sup>2</sup>University of Vienna, Austria

May 19, 2019

# How much information can be forced into a real?

Some reals ( $0^\#$ , etc) are not in any forcing extension of  $L$ . This persists even when forcing over  $V$ : whenever  $H$  is  $V$ -generic, in  $V[H]$  there is no  $G$  generic over  $L$  such that  $0^\# \in L[G]$ .

However, there are reals  $c_1, c_2$  both Cohen generic over  $L$  such that  $0^\# \in L[c_1, c_2]$ . It must be that  $c_2$  is not generic over  $L[c_1]$  and  $c_1$  is not generic over  $L[c_2]$ .

Suppose  $a$  is an arbitrary real **not in  $L$** . Is there a generic  $G$  over  $L$  such that  $0^\# \in L[a, G]$ ? We will see that the answer is YES!

# Encoding any real into two Cohen reals (Mostowski)

Let  $M$  be a c.t.m. of ZFC. Fix  $x \in {}^\omega 2$ . We will find  $g, h \in {}^\omega 2$  Cohen generic over  $M$  such that  $x = g \text{ XOR } h$ .

Let  $\langle D_n : n < \omega \rangle$  be an enumeration of all dense subsets (in  $M$ ) of Cohen forcing.

Let  $g_0 \in {}^{<\omega} 2$  be in  $D_0$ . Let  $h_0 \in {}^{<\omega} 2$  be the same length as  $g_0$  such that

$$g_0 \text{ XOR } h_0 = x \upharpoonright |g_0|.$$

Let  $h_1 \supseteq h_0$  be in  $D_0$ . Let  $g_1 \supseteq g_0$  be the same length as  $h_1$  such that

$$g_1 \text{ XOR } h_1 = x \upharpoonright |g_1|.$$

Let  $g_2 \supseteq g_1$  be in  $D_1$ . Let  $h_2 \supseteq h_1$  be the same length as  $g_2$  such that

$$g_2 \text{ XOR } h_2 = x \upharpoonright |g_2|.$$

etc. The reals  $g = \bigcup g_i$  and  $h = \bigcup h_i$  are as desired.

## Theorem (Mostowski)

Let  $M$  be a c.t.m. of ZFC. Fix  $I \in \omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of subsets of  $\{0, \dots, I\}$  that contains all singletons and is closed under subsets. Fix any  $x \in {}^\omega 2$ . Then there are reals  $g_0, \dots, g_I$  all Cohen generic over  $M$  such that for any  $A \subseteq \{0, \dots, I\}$ ,

- 1) if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\{g_i : i \in A\}$  is contained in a forcing extension of  $M$ ;
- 2) if  $A \notin \mathcal{A}$ , then  $x \in L[\{g_i : i \in A\}]$ .

In their paper Set Theoretic Blockchains [1], the authors prove more complicated versions of this.

# The main theorem

Let  $M$  be a c.t.m. of ZFC. Say that  $a \in {}^\omega 2$  is **helpful** iff for any  $x \in {}^\omega 2$ , there is a  $G$  generic over  $M$  such that  $x \in L[a, G]$ .

## Theorem (Habič et al [1])

If  $a$  is Cohen generic over  $M$ , it is helpful.

## Theorem (Habič, Sy Friedman)

If  $a$  is unbounded over  $M$ , it is helpful.

## Theorem (Sy Friedman)

If  $a$  is Sacks generic over  $M$ , it is helpful.

Our main theorem:

## Theorem [4]

If  $a$  is *any* real not in  $M$ , it is helpful.

# Main Theorem: Part 1

Recall *Tree Hechler Forcing*, whose conditions are trees with cofinite splitting beyond their stems:

## Definition

The forcing  $\mathbb{H}$  consists of the trees  $T \subseteq {}^{<\omega}\omega$  such that for all  $t \sqsubseteq \text{Stem}(T)$  in  $T$ ,

$$\{z \in \omega : t \frown z \notin T\} \text{ is finite.}$$

The ordering is by inclusion.

Given a generic  $G$  for  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $G$  can be recovered from  $\bigcup \bigcap G$  (the union of the stems of conditions in  $G$ ), which is a function from  $\omega$  to  $\omega$ .

# Main Theorem: Part 2

Given a set  $A \subseteq \omega$ , we can define an auxillary ordering  $\leq_A$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ :

## Definition

Let  $A \subseteq \omega$ . Given  $t, t' \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ , we write  $t' \supseteq_A t$  iff  $t' \supseteq t$  and

$$(\forall n \in \text{Dom}(t') - \text{Dom}(t)) t'(n) \notin A.$$

So if  $t' \supseteq_A t$ , then  $t'$  does not “hit”  $A$  any more than  $t$  already does.

## Definition

Let  $A \subseteq \omega$ . Given  $T, T' \in \mathbb{H}$ , we write  $T' \leq_A T$  iff  $T' \leq T$  and  $\text{Stem}(T') \supseteq_A \text{Stem}(T)$ .

So  $T' \leq_A T$  means that  $T'$  is stronger than  $T$  and the stem of  $T'$  does not hit  $A$  any more than the stem of  $T$ .

## Main Theorem: Part 3

Given an  $\mathbb{H}$ -generic  $G$  (over a transitive model) and an  $A \subseteq \omega$ , here is how we can decode a real  $x \in {}^\omega 2$ : let  $a_0, a_1, \dots$  be the increasing enumeration of  $A$ . Let  $\eta_A : A \rightarrow 2$  be the function  $\eta_A(a_i) = 0$  if  $i$  is even, and 1 if  $i$  is odd. Consider  $g = \bigcup \bigcap G$  ( $g$  is the union of the stems of conditions in  $G$ ). We have  $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ . Let

$$n_0 < n_1 < \dots$$

be the increasing enumeration of the set of  $n \in \omega$  such that  $g(n) \in A$ . We can now “decode” the real  $\langle \eta_A(g(n_i)) : i < \omega \rangle$ . That is, every time  $g$  hits  $A$ , a new bit is encoded according to which element of  $A$  is hit.

### Question

Given  $A \subseteq \omega$  and a transitive model  $M$  of  $ZF$ , can we create a generic for  $\mathbb{H}$  over  $M$  without encoding unwanted bits in the process?

By genericity, we **cannot** if  $A \in M$ . But we **can** if  $A$  is infinite but has no infinite subset in  $M$  (the Main Lemma)!



# Main Theorem: Part 4

## Main Lemma

Let  $M$  be a transitive model of ZF. Let  $A \subseteq \omega$  be infinite but have no infinite subset in  $M$ .

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{H}^M$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{P}^M(\mathbb{P})$  be open dense (in  $M$ ). Let  $T \in \mathbb{P}$ .

Then there exists some  $T' \leq_A T$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

So if  $\mathcal{P}^M(\mathbb{P})$  is countable (in  $V$ ), then constructing a  $G$  which is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M$  can be accomplished by constructing a decreasing  $\leq_A$ -sequence to hit all  $\omega$  many dense sets.

Assuming the Main Lemma is true, we can prove the Main Theorem.

# Main Theorem: Part 5

So now we can alternate between hitting dense sets by making  $\leq_A$ -extensions, and encoding whatever bits we want by making non- $\leq_A$ -extensions.

## Generic Coding with Help Theorem (Main Theorem)

Let  $M$  be a transitive model of ZF such that  $\mathcal{P}^M(\mathbb{H}^M)$  is countable. Let  $\bar{a}, x \in {}^\omega 2$  be such that  $\bar{a} \notin M$ .

Then there is a  $G$  that is  $\mathbb{H}^M$ -generic over  $M$  such that  $x \in L[\bar{a}, G]$ .

Proof: let  $A \subseteq \omega$  be Turing equivalent to  $\bar{a}$  and also computable from every infinite subset of itself. Let  $\langle \mathcal{D}_i : i < \omega \rangle$  be an enumeration of the open dense subsets of  $\mathbb{H}^M$  in  $M$ . Let  $T'_{-1} = 1 \in \mathbb{H}^M$ . Now let  $i \geq 0$ .

Let  $T_i \leq_A T'_{i-1}$  be such that  $T_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ . Let  $T'_i \leq T_i$  be a non- $\leq_A$ -extension of  $T_i$  extending the stem of  $T_i$  by one to encode the  $i$ -th bit of  $x$ . etc.

# Main Lemma: Part 1

But how do we prove the Main Lemma? That is, how do we  $\leq_A$ -extend a condition to hit a dense subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathbb{H}^M$  in our countable transitive model  $M$ ?

Taking one step:

## Sticking Out Observation

Let  $M$  be a transitive model of  $ZF$ . Let  $A \subseteq \omega$  be infinite but there are no infinite subsets of  $A$  in  $M$ . Then if  $B \subseteq \omega$  is infinite and in  $M$ , then  $B - A$  is infinite.

Proof: Assume towards a contradiction that  $B - A$  is finite. Then  $B - A \in M$ . Since both  $B$  and  $B - A$  are in  $M$ , we have  $B \cap A \in M$  as well. At the same time, since  $B$  is infinite and  $B - A$  is finite,  $B \cap A$  must be infinite. So now  $B \cap A$  is an infinite subset of  $A$  which is in  $M$ , which is a contradiction.

# Main Lemma: Part 2

To prove the Main Lemma, we need a “rank analysis” on the stems of the conditions in our dense set.

## Definition

Given  $S \subseteq {}^{<\omega}\omega$  and  $t \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ ,

- $t$  is 0- $S$ -reachable iff  $t \in S$ ;
- $t$  is  $\alpha$ - $S$ -reachable for some  $\alpha > 0$  iff

$$\{z \in \omega : t \frown z \text{ is } \beta\text{-}S\text{-reachable for some } \beta < \alpha\}$$

is infinite.

- $t$  is  $S$ -reachable iff  $t$  is  $\alpha$ - $S$ -reachable for some  $\alpha$ .

Fact: fix  $T \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ . Let  $t = \text{Stem}(T)$  and  $S \subseteq {}^{<\omega}\omega$  be the set of stems of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense below  $T$  iff  $t$  is  $S$ -reachable.

## Main Lemma: Part 3

Proof of Main Lemma: fix  $M$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^M$  which is dense. Fix  $T \in \mathbb{H}^M$ . Let  $A \subseteq \omega$  be infinite with no infinite subsets in  $M$ . We will find  $T' \leq_A T$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $t = \text{Stem}(T)$  and  $S$  be the set of stems of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ . It suffices to find some  $s \sqsupseteq_A t$  in  $T \cap S$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be such that  $t$  is  $\alpha$ - $S$ -reachable. If  $\alpha = 0$ , then set  $s := t$  and we are done. If not, the set  $B = \{z \in \omega : t \frown z \text{ is } \beta\text{-}S\text{-reachable for some } \beta < \alpha\}$  is infinite (and in  $M$ ). So by the Sticking Out Observation,  $B - A$  is infinite. So, fix some  $z_0 \in (B - A)$  such that  $t \frown z_0 \in T$ .

Now  $t \frown z_0$  is  $\beta$ - $S$ -reachable for some  $\beta < \alpha$ . If  $\beta = 0$ , then set  $s := t \frown z_0$  and we are done. If not, then we can find some  $z_1 \notin A$  such that  $t \frown z_0 \frown z_1 \in T$  and  $t \frown z_0 \frown z_1$  is  $\delta$ - $S$ -reachable for some  $\delta < \beta$ .

etc. This must eventually terminate.

# The complement of a Turing Cone

In the Generic Coding with Help Theorem, we actually have  $x \leq_T \bar{a} \oplus g$  where  $g = \bigcup \bigcap G$ .

## Corollary

Assume  $0^\#$  exists. Let  $S$  be the set of reals that are generic over  $L$ . Then although  $S$  is disjoint from the Turing cone above  $0^\#$ , we have that for any  $\bar{a} \in {}^\omega 2 - L$ , the set

$$\{\bar{a} \oplus s : s \in S\}$$

is cofinal in the Turing degrees.

# Nontrivial Nodes of Compatibility?

## Definition

Let  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . The set  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  is the collection of all (countable) transitive models of ZFC of height  $\alpha$ .

We say that  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  are **compatible** iff there is some  $N \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  such that  $M_1 \cup M_2 \subseteq N$ .

## Conjecture (Sy Friedman)

If  $M \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  is compatible with every model in  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ , then  $M = L_\alpha$ .

A counterexample would mean there exists some very “gentle” information not in  $L_\alpha$ .

# Friedman's Conjecture is True

## Theorem

Let  $M_1, J \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  be such that  $M_1 \not\subseteq J$ . Then there is a forcing extension  $M_2$  of  $J$  that is not compatible with  $M_1$ .

Proof: let  $a' \in M_1 - J$  be a set of ordinals. Force over  $J$  to get  $J[G_1]$  to make  $\sup a'$  countable. Now  $a'$  is encoded by a real  $\bar{a}$  (which by mutual genericity can be assumed to not be in  $J[G_1]$ ). Then force over  $J[G_1]$  to get  $M_2 := J[G_1][G_2]$ , using the Generic Coding with Help Theorem, so that  $G_2$  together with  $\bar{a}$  computes a real not in any model of ZFC of height  $\alpha$ .

History: I asked Friedman if the Generic Coding with Help Theorem was already known, and he said no but he was looking for such a theorem to make the proof above work.



# Larger Sets are Generically Helpful

The Generic Coding with Help Theorem uses a real number as “help”. Larger objects can be used as help when we force over the universe to make them countable.

## Corollary

Let  $M$  be a transitive model of ZF. Let  $\lambda$  be a cardinal such that  $\lambda \in M$ . Let  $\mathbb{P} = (\text{Col}(\omega, \lambda) * \mathbb{H})^M$ . Let  $\tilde{V}$  be an outer model of  $V$  in which  $\mathcal{P}^M(\mathbb{P})$  is countable.

Let  $X \in \mathcal{P}^{\tilde{V}}(\lambda)$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{P}^{\tilde{V}}(\lambda) - M$ . Then there is a  $G$  in  $\tilde{V}$  such that

- 1)  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M$ ,
- 2)  $X \in L(A, G)$ .

# Are Larger Sets Helpful in $V$ itself?

The previous slide shows that larger sets are helpful in sufficiently large forcing extensions of the universe. What about in  $V$  itself?

## Question

Assume CH and a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Is there some  $\bar{a} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and some forcing  $\mathbb{P} \in L(\mathbb{R})$  that is countably closed such that given any  $X \subseteq \omega_1$ , there is a  $G$  that is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $L(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$X \in L(\bar{a}, \mathbb{R})[G]?$$

If true, we then ask if it is true for *any*  $\bar{a} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  not in  $L(\mathbb{R})$ .

Note: Woodin has conjectured that if CH holds and there is a proper class of Woodins and there is a mouse with a measurable Woodin cardinal, then for any  $X \subseteq \omega_1$ , there is some model  $M$  of  $AD$  containing all the reals such that  $X$  is  $\text{Col}(\omega_1, \mathbb{R})$ -generic over  $M$ .

# What about HOD?

Every real is generic over HOD.

Every real is generic over HOD with help using  $\mathbb{H}^{\text{HOD}}$ .

$\mathbb{H}$  has size  $2^\omega$  (and is c.c.c).

## Question

Is every real generic over HOD by a poset of size  $\leq (2^\omega)^{\text{HOD}}$ ?

Sy Friedman believes he has a proof that the answer is no in some models of ZFC.

# The Original Application of the Main Lemma

The original application for the Main Lemma was to prove results like the following:

## Theorem (ZF)

Assume there is no injection of  $\omega_1$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . There is a Baire class one function  $f_a : {}^\omega\omega \rightarrow {}^\omega\omega$  with the following property:

whenever  $g : {}^\omega\omega \rightarrow {}^\omega\omega$  is  $\infty$ -Borel and  $f_a \cap g = \emptyset$ , then

$$a \in L[C]$$

where  $C$  is any  $\infty$ -Borel code for  $g$ .

# Domination of Functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$

The previous slide gives information about the disjointness relation of functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $g$  everywhere dominates  $f$  iff  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}) f(x) \leq g(x)$ . Here is an aside about the everywhere domination relation:

## Theorem







Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $f^a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function

$$f^a(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(x-a)^2} & \text{if } x \neq a \\ 0 & \text{if } x = a. \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  everywhere dominates  $f^a$ .

Then  $a \in L[g]$ .

# Thank You!

-  M. Habič, J. Hamkins, L. Klausner, J. Verner, K Williams. *Set-Theoretic Blockchains* <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.01509>.
-  D. Hathaway. *Disjoint Borel Functions*. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 168 (2017), no.8, 1552-1563.
-  D. Hathaway. *Disjoint Infinity Borel Functions*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.09513>.
-  S. Friedman, D. Hathaway. *Generic Coding with Help and Amalgamation Failure*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.09513>.
-  J. Palumbo. *Unbounded and Dominating Reals in Hechler Extensions*. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 78 (2013), no.1, 275-289.
-  H. Woodin. *The Axiom of Determinacy, Forcing Axioms, and the Non-Stationary Ideal, 2nd Edition*. Berlin, Boston: DE Gruyter. 2010.